UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.)	Case No: 3:98CR299
THEODORE HOWAE, JR.	USM No: 09498-058
Date of Previous Judgment: April 1, 2003	Pro Se
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Redu	action Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of \blacksquare the defendant \square the Director of $\S 3582(c)(2)$ for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impossubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United $\S 994(u)$, and having considered such motion,	ed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
=	eviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: 34	(Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: 34
	VI (career Criminal History Category: offender) Amended Guideline Range: Life to Life months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ■ Other (explain): No reduction due to the mandatory statutory minimum sentence of Life in this case. As well, the total offense level was not reduced as the defendant is a career offender under USSG §4B1.1. Moreover, as the 851 was not withdrawn, the mandatory minimum of Life is still in effect. 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	April 1, 2003, shall remain in effect.
Order Date: July 28, 2011	Graham C. Muken
Effective Date:	Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge